

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>Case No. 10-CR-117-BDB</b>
	)	
<b>JEFF M. HENDERSON and</b>	)	
<b>WILLIAM A. YELTON</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendants.</b>	)	

**SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION FOR HEARING ON CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

COMES NOW the United States of America, by and through counsel, Jane W. Duke, Special Attorney, and Patrick Harris and Patricia S. Harris, Special Assistant United States Attorneys, and files this supplement to its previously-submitted Motion for Hearing on Conflicts of Interest (Docket Number 44).

Since the filing of the United State’s Motion for Hearing on Conflicts of Interest, it has become aware of the following additional fact:

After the filing of the United States’ Motion for Hearing on Conflicts of Interest, R. Thomas Seymour placed a telephone call to Northern District of Oklahoma United States Attorney Scott Woodward. Later that same day (Tuesday, August 10, 2010), USA Woodward returned Seymour’s call. The two had a conversation in which Seymour specifically inquired as to the resolution of the Jose Angel Gonzalez case. USA Woodward replied that Gonzalez’ two cases (a gun case and a drug case) were under review by an internal committee of the Northern District of Oklahoma United States

Attorney's Office, but that no final decision had been reached. Seymour stated that, based on what he knew, he was "confident" that the gun charge would be dismissed. Woodward replied that relief might be forthcoming on one of Gonzalez' cases, but not the other. Woodward and Seymour then had a discussion that Gonzalez' attorney would most likely be required to file a motion to withdraw the guilty plea as to the other case and that the parties could litigate the issue. During this conversation, Woodward told Seymour that Woodward was under the belief that Seymour was Gonzalez' attorney. Seymour responded that he was until "probably yesterday". The reference to yesterday would have been to Monday, August 9, 2010. Seymour stated that the Special Attorney had filed a motion pertaining to his dual representation of Henderson and Gonzalez. Seymour expressed concern that this motion could negatively impact the resolution of the Gonzalez cases. Seymour ended the conversation by stating that he had "great faith" in what USA Woodward and his office does, with a few minor exceptions.

WHEREFORE, the United States supplements its previously-filed Motion for Hearing on Conflicts of Interest (Docket Number 44) as set forth above and requests that the Court hold a hearing on this motion and supplemental filing.

Respectfully submitted,

JANE W. DUKE  
SPECIAL ATTORNEY

/S/ Jane W. Duke  
JANE W. DUKE (Ark. Bar #96190)  
PATRICK C. HARRIS (Ark. Bar #85069)  
PATRICIA S. HARRIS (Ark. Bar #89208)  
Special Assistant United States Attorneys  
P.O. Box 1229  
Little Rock, Arkansas 72203  
(501) 340-2600

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2010, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk of Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

Scott A. Graham  
Anthony L. Allen  
Chad Adrian Greer  
R. Thomas Seymour

/s/ Jane W. Duke

Jane W. Duke  
Special Attorney